August 8, 2003

Ms. Sandra Smith Executive Director Texas Board of Chiropractic Examiners 333 Guadalupe, Suite 3-825 Austin, Texas 78701-3942

OR2003-5552

Dear Ms. Smith:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 185643.

The Texas Board of Chiropractic Examiners (the "board") received a request for "all current, non-exempt and non-confidential e-mail addresses held electronically by the [board] in digital format," to include the e-mail addresses of licensees and employees. You claim that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code.

We note that the board has not complied with section 552.301 of the Government Code in requesting this decision. Section 552.301 prescribes procedures that a governmental body must follow in asking this office to decide whether requested information is excepted from public disclosure. Section 552.301(e) requires the government body to submit to this office, not later than the fifteenth business day after the date of its receipt of the request for information, a copy of the specific information requested or representative samples of the information if a voluminous amount of information was requested. See Gov't Code § 552.301(e)(1)(D). Section 552.302 provides that "[i]f a governmental body does not request an attorney general decision as provided by Section 552.301 . . . the information requested in writing is presumed to be subject to required public disclosure and must be released unless there is a compelling reason to withhold the information."

As of the date of this decision, this office has not received either the specific information or a representative sample of the information that the board claims is excepted from disclosure. Thus, the board has not complied with section 552.301 in requesting this decision.

Therefore, the requested information is presumed to be public and must be released under section 552.302, unless there is a compelling reason to withhold any of the information from the public. See also Hancock v. State Bd. of Ins., 797 S.W.2d 379, 381 (Tex. App.—Austin 1990, no writ). The presumption that information is public under section 552.302 can generally be overcome by a demonstration that the information is confidential by law or that third-party interests are at stake. See Open Records Decision Nos. 630 at 3 (1994), 325 at 2 (1982). The board contends that some of the requested information is excepted from disclosure under section 552.137 of the Government Code. Section 552.137, which makes certain e-mail addresses confidential, can provide a compelling reason for non-disclosure under section 552.302. In this instance, however, the board has not submitted the requested information or a representative sample of the information, and thus we have no basis for finding that any of the information is confidential under section 552.137. Therefore, we have no choice but to order the information released under section 552.302. If you believe that the information is confidential and may not lawfully be released, you must challenge this ruling in court as outlined below.

This letter ruling is limited to the particular records at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other records or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For example, governmental bodies are prohibited from asking the attorney general to reconsider this ruling. Gov't Code § 552.301(f). If the governmental body wants to challenge this ruling, the governmental body must appeal by filing suit in Travis County within 30 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.324(b). In order to get the full benefit of such an appeal, the governmental body must file suit within 10 calendar days. *Id.* § 552.353(b)(3), (c). If the governmental body does not appeal this ruling and the governmental body does not comply with it, then both the requestor and the attorney general have the right to file suit against the governmental body to enforce this ruling. *Id.* § 552.321(a).

If this ruling requires the governmental body to release all or part of the requested information, the governmental body is responsible for taking the next step. Based on the statute, the attorney general expects that, within 10 calendar days of this ruling, the governmental body will do one of the following three things: 1) release the public records; 2) notify the requestor of the exact day, time, and place that copies of the records will be provided or that the records can be inspected; or 3) notify the requestor of the governmental body's intent to challenge this letter ruling in court. If the governmental body

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Section 552.137 provides that an e-mail address of a member of the public that is provided for the purpose of communicating electronically with a governmental body is confidential and not subject to public disclosure, unless the member of the public to whom the e-mail address belongs affirmatively consents to its release.

fails to do one of these three things within 10 calendar days of this ruling, then the requestor should report that failure to the attorney general's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. The requestor may also file a complaint with the district or county attorney. *Id.* § 552.3215(e).

If this ruling requires or permits the governmental body to withhold all or some of the requested information, the requestor can appeal that decision by suing the governmental body. *Id.* § 552.321(a); *Texas Dep't of Pub. Safety v. Gilbreath*, 842 S.W.2d 408, 411 (Tex. App.—Austin 1992, no writ).

Please remember that under the Act the release of information triggers certain procedures for costs and charges to the requestor. If records are released in compliance with this ruling, be sure that all charges for the information are at or below the legal amounts. Questions or complaints about over-charging must be directed to Hadassah Schloss at the Texas Building and Procurement Commission at (512) 475-2497.

If the governmental body, the requestor, or any other person has questions or comments about this ruling, they may contact our office. We note that a third party may challenge this ruling by filing suit seeking to withhold information from a requestor. Gov't Code § 552.325. Although there is no statutory deadline for contacting us, the attorney general prefers to receive any comments within 10 calendar days of the date of this ruling.

Sincerely,

James W. Morris, III
Assistant Attorney General
Open Records Division

JWM/sdk

Ref: ID# 185643

c: Mr. Brad L. Armstrong Attorney at Law 206 Westwood Terrace Austin, Texas 78746